

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

MICH II HOLDINGS LLC, SEEVA	§
II HOLDINGS LLC, MICH	§ No. 412, 2012
HOLDINGS LLC, and SEEVA	§
HOLDINGS LLC,	§
Plaintiffs Below-	§ Court Below-Court of Chancery
Appellants,	§ of the State of Delaware
	§
v.	§ C.A. No. 6840
	§
	§
RUBIN SCHRON and CAM-ELM	§
COMPANY LLC,	§
	§
Defendants Below-	§
Appellees	§
	§
and	§
	§
SMV PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC	§
and SWC PROPERTY HOLDINGS	§
LLC,	§
	§
Nominal Defendants Below-	§
Appellees.	§

Submitted: August 9, 2012
Decided: August 10, 2012

Before **STEELE**, Chief Justice, **HOLLAND** and **BERGER**, Justices

ORDER

This 10th day of August 2012, it appears to the Court that:

(1) The plaintiffs-appellants, MICH II Holdings LLC, SEEVA II Holdings LLC, MICH Holdings LLC, and SEEVA Holdings LLC (the

“appellants”), have petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from the interlocutory order of the Court of Chancery dated June 29, 2012, which granted the defendants-appellees’ motion to stay this action pending the conclusion of litigation in New York.

(2) The appellants filed their application for certification to take an interlocutory appeal in the Court of Chancery on July 9, 2012. On August 7, 2012, the Court of Chancery denied the certification application on the ground that the requirements of Rule 42 had not been met.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, the Court has concluded that the appellants’ application for interlocutory review fails to meet the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42 and, therefore, should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Randy J. Holland
Justice